Surveyors engaged for the Puduval Survey.

16. * **Mr. A. Easwara Pillai** (*Kottarakara and Pathanapuram* cum *Shencotta*) : Will the Government be pleased to state the total number of surveyors engaged for the Puduval Survey? How many of them are now discharged and how many retained?

Mr. S. Ramakrishna Aiyar : A *statement is laid on the table.

Re-survey and Settlement.

17. * **Mr. A. Easwara Pillai :** Will the Government be pleased to state :

(*a*) whether they intend to start re-survey and settlement in the near future ; and

(*b*) whether there is any feasible method for settlement without re-survey ?

Mr. S. Ramakrishna Aiyar : (*a*) The matter is under consideration.

(*b*) Settlement without re-survey may become feasible if the land records are brought up-to-date, so far as certain taluks are concerned. But a re-survey may become necessary in the case of others.

Mr. A. Easwara Pillai : May I know whether Government intend to start Settlement without re-survey in the taluks referred to ?

Mr. S. Ramakrishna Aiyar : No, Sir.

Oodukoor Special Officer.

18. * **Mr. T. Krishna Pillai** (*Kalkulam and Vilavankod* cum *Neyyattinkara*) : Will the Government be pleased to state :

(*a*) when the Oodukoor Special Officer submitted his report ; and

(*b*) whether any action was taken thereon ; and

(*c*) if not, the reason for the delay ?

Mr. S. Ramakrishna Aiyar : (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The report is under the consideration of Government.

(*c*) In view of the answer to part (*b*) this question does not arise.

Mr. T. Krishna Pillai : The question is 'when the Oodukoor Special Officer submitted his report' and the answer is 'Yes'. Probably it may be a printing mistake. I wish to know when the Oodukoor Special Officer submitted his report ?

Mr. S. Ramakrishna Aiyar : In 1114.

Mr. T. Krishna Pillai : May I know the reason for such a long delay in taking action on the report ?

*Vide Appendix II – Page 124

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. S. Ramakrishna Aiyar: The question whether this work should be taken up with the resettlement is under consideration.

Mr. T. Krishna Pillai: May I know the reasons that weighed with Government in appointing an Oodukoor Special Officer?

Mr. S. Ramakrishna Aiyar: To get proposals as to how the evils of the system could be remedied.

Mr. T. Krishna Pillai: In view of that answer, may I know whether it is not injurious if action is delayed?

Mr. S. Ramakrishna Aiyar: The matter is being considered.

Recruitment to Judiciary.

19. * **Mr. T. Krishna Pillai**: Will the Government be pleased to state whether they have of late effected any change in their policy of recruitment to judiciary from the members of the Bar?

Mr. Puthupalli S. Krishna Pillai (*Legal Remembrancer to Government*): The answer is in the negative.

Minimum Law Qualification for Magistracy.

20. * **Mr. Kochickal P. Balakrishnan Tampi**: Will the Government be pleased to state whether the Travancore Pleadership Examination or the Criminal Higher Test of British India is considered as minimum law qualification for Magistracy?

Rajyasevapravina M. K. Nilakanta Aiyar: Yes.

Number of documents a Sub-Registrar is expected to register on a day.

21. * **Mr. James Fletcher**: Will the Government be pleased to state;

(*a*) the number of documents a Sub-Registrar is expected to register on a working day;

(*b*) the average number of documents which the Sub-Registrars of Quilon and Trivandrum Districts have registered on every working day during the first half of the year 1116;

(*c*) the number of documents registered during the year in the Quilon District;

(*d*) the percentage of documents the District Registrar of Quilon was able to inspect during his inspecting tours;

(*e*) whether there is leakage of Government revenue in the items of stamp duty and registration fees either due to the ignorance or indifference of the Sub-Registrars; and

(*f*) whether they have considered the feasibility of appointing Stamp Duty Inspectors for finding out such leakages?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly